Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	Δ

Plaintiff, v. SAFEWAY INC., Defendant.

DEBRA HORN,

Case No. 19-cv-02488-JCS

ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND NYING IN PART MOTION TO

Re: Dkt. No. 27

The parties filed a joint letter dated March 4, 2020 in which plaintiff sought additional documents, and responses to interrogatories. GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, the Court rules as follows:

- 1. The motion to compel further production in response to RFP 5 is **DENIED** as not relevant to the issues in this case.
- 2. The motion to compel further production in response to RFP 9 is **DENIED** as not relevant to the issues in this case.
- 3. The motion to compel further production in response to RFP 29-54 is **GRANTED IN PART**. Defendant shall produce native format files of the emails at issue, with metadata, or, alternatively, pdf files with accompanying searchable text files and metadata.
- 4. The motion to compel further response to interrogatory No. 1 is **GRANTED IN PART**: For each shoplifting incident at the Dublin store in which the police were called, from January 1, 2017 to present, state: 1) the date of the incident 2) who contacted the police, and 3) whether prior authorization was received from a manager to call the police.
- 5. The motion to compel further response to interrogatory Nos. 2 and 3 is **DENIED.** No. 2 is premature, and No. 3 is not relevant.

6.	The motion to compel further response to interrogatory No. 4 is DENIED without
	prejudice if there is evidence that defendant has not preserved information or
	documents

- 7. The motion to compel further response to interrogatory Nos. 5-8 is **GRANTED IN PART**. Defendant shall answer these interrogatories in full one (1) week before the non-expert discovery cut off.
- 8. The motion to compel further response to FRA 43 is **DENIED.**
- 9. The motion to compel further responses to FRPs 58 and 59 is **DENIED** for failure to meet and confer.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 12, 2020

JOSEPH C. SPERO Chief Magistrate Judge

7/2